

Working with neurodivergent people in contact with the criminal justice system



About the authors

This evidence review has been written by a number of members of the Neurodivergence in Criminal Justice Network (NICJN) – a group of researchers, practitioners and community members interested in the challenges faced by neurodivergent individuals drawn into criminal justice systems (both in England and Wales, and globally). You can find out more about the NICJN [here](#).

Dr Anne-Marie Day is a Criminology Lecturer based in the Manchester Centre for Youth Studies at MMU. She has conducted research on children in care and neurodivergent children's experiences in the youth justice system. Anne-Marie is currently working on a research project exploring racial disparity in youth justice diversion. Anne-Marie also has many years' experience as a practitioner and policy maker within criminal justice. She is a qualified Probation Officer, and has worked in the community, courts and prison. Anne-Marie has also worked as a youth justice manager and for the Youth Justice Board as a Senior Policy Adviser. Anne-Marie is a board member for the Alliance for Youth Justice.

Clare Allely is a Professor of Forensic Psychology at the University of Salford in England and is an affiliate member of the Gillberg Neuropsychiatry Centre at Gothenburg University, Sweden. Clare is an Honorary Research Fellow in the College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences affiliated to the Institute of Health and Wellbeing at the University of Glasgow. Clare acts as an expert witness in criminal cases involving defendants with autism spectrum disorder. She also acts as a Consultant for the Irish Prison Service and an Advisor for Parents For Peace. She is author of the book "The Psychology of Extreme Violence: A Case Study Approach to Serial Homicide, Mass Shooting, School Shooting and Lone-actor Terrorism" published by Routledge in 2020 and author of the book "Autism Spectrum Disorder in the Criminal Justice System: A Guide to Understanding Suspects, Defendants and Offenders with Autism" published by Routledge in 2022.

Dr Chloe Holloway-George is an Assistant Professor in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice in the School of Law, University of Nottingham. Her research specialises in autism and the law with specific focus on the rights of autistic people in society. Chloe has previously led research aimed at improving the support of autistic people in detention settings through changes to practice, policy and the custody environment. She has worked with the Nottinghamshire Autism Police Partnership to help co-produce an improved autism training package and toolkit for police custody staff and has delivered autism training for custody staff and offered advice on how police forces can make their custody suites more 'autism-friendly'. Most recently, Chloe has conducted an evaluation of the autism training and toolkit to understand how it is being used by police forces with a view to influencing the development of national neurodiversity criminal justice policy.

Dr Jennifer Hough is a Senior Lecturer in Policing and Criminal Justice at the University of Central Lancashire. She has conducted research across the Criminal Justice System. She focuses on applied research around 'inclusive justice' including how courts and other legal spaces can be more accessible, particularly for those who are neurodivergent or who have additional needs. She has worked with different police forces as well as the NHS and HMPPS to better understand their working practices and identify improvements. She is co-lead of the Youth Justice strand of the Criminal Justice Partnership and co-director of the Centre for Socio Legal Studies in the Institute of Criminal, Legal and Social Justice.



About the authors

Kayleigh Atkins is a current student at the University of Sunderland, studying an MSc in Inequality and Society and a recent Criminology graduate from the same university. Kayleigh's research interests include equality, diversity and inclusion within policy and practice, focusing on neurodiversity in the criminal justice system and educational inequalities for neurodivergent pupils in mainstream educational settings. She is particularly interested in the link between school exclusions and the school to prison pipeline. In practice, Kayleigh supports chronically disengaged (mostly neurodivergent) students with bespoke tailored mind and educational interventions, helping them to lead a life worth living and head towards a better future.

Dr Luke Vinter is a Senior Lecturer in Applied Criminology based in the Centre for Applied Social Sciences, Policy, Practice and Research at the University of Derby, and is a Chartered Psychologist with the British Psychological Society. Luke engages in applied forensic psychology and criminology research, primarily centred around the theme of working with and enhancing support for neurodivergent individuals in the criminal justice system. The majority of Luke's work to date has focussed on exploring the experiences and support needs of autistic individuals in prisons and prison-based offending behaviour interventions. Luke has collaborated with external organisations both nationally (e.g. HMPPS) and internationally (e.g., ICCLR), to advise and support professionals from a variety of disciplines on how to best work with neurodivergent individuals in a range of criminal justice contexts; and he has a strong track record of delivering research-informed autism training workshops to a wide range of external partners. He is currently involved in several ongoing projects addressing issues related to neurodiversity in the criminal justice system, and is a co-investigator on Ministry of Justice commissioned national, multi-site qualitative evaluations of two accredited offending behaviour programmes in the UK.

Dr Nicole Renehan is an Assistant Professor in Criminology in the Department of Sociology, Durham University. Nicole researches in the area of domestic abuse, Neurodiversity and Interventions, and has a practice background in domestic abuse within a multi-agency, child protection setting. Nicole also has experience working with young men in secure settings and disabled/neurodivergent children and young people who are in distress.

Dr Nikki Rutter is an Assistant Professor in Sociology in the Department of Sociology at Durham University. Nikki's research interests include participatory approaches to child and family experiences, expressions, and responses to harm. She is particularly interested in how symbolic representations of 'good mothering' and 'good childhoods' create contexts conducive to family harm, and how this can be challenged, particularly in the context of neurodivergence.



About the authors

Dr Tom Smith is Associate Professor of Law at the University of the West of England (UWE), Bristol, UK. His research focuses on suspect and defendant rights, pre-trial detention and bail, open justice, and neurodivergence in the criminal justice system. He is the founder and Joint Co-Ordinator of the Neurodivergence in Criminal Justice Network (NICJN): a group of academics, practitioners and community members promoting research and knowledge exchange, and evidence-led practice. He has undertaken funded projects on pre-trial detention and criminal defence lawyers' representation of Autistic suspects and defendants and provided training and CPD for criminal justice institutions and professionals including the College of Policing, Judicial College, HM Inspectorate of Constabulary & Fire and Rescue Services, and Australian defence lawyers. He has spoken at national and international conferences, including the European Society of Criminology Annual Conference; and published extensively on his areas of specialism in peer-reviewed journals. He has edited two books covering disclosure of evidence in criminal proceedings; and Autism in the criminal justice system.

Dr Katie Maras is a Reader (Associate Professor) in Psychology. Her work has two main strands – neurodiversity and forensic psychology, and the intersection between them. For example, Katie's research examines how autistic people fare when they are interviewed by the police as a witness or as a suspect of an alleged offence. Findings from this work show that current police interviewing techniques do not elicit 'best evidence' from autistic individuals. Through her ESRC Future Research Leaders grant Katie developed new methods of questioning to obtain detailed, relevant and accurate information about an event from an autistic person in police interviews as well as in other areas including job interviews. She is currently extending this work to courtroom questioning in a three-year ESRC-funded project. Katie works with a range of policy makers and end-user organisations, including a close collaboration with Avon and Somerset police. She recently completed an ESRC-funded secondment working with the Home Office on the Cyber Prevent strategy.

Published by Clinks © 2025. All rights reserved.

Unless otherwise indicated, no part of this publication may be stored in a retrievable system or reproduced in any form without prior written permission from Clinks. Clinks will give sympathetic consideration to requests from small organisations for permission to reproduce this publication in whole or in part but the terms upon which such reproduction may be permitted will remain at Clinks' discretion. Clinks and the author are not legally trained or qualified. Any information or guidance given in this publication should not be taken as a substitute for professional legal advice. Clinks is unable to accept liability for any loss or damage or inconvenience arising as a consequence of the use of any information provided in this guide.



**EVIDENCE
LIBRARY**

Working with neurodivergent people in contact with the criminal justice system

CLINKS

Why read this evidence review?

Academics, practitioners and policymakers have become increasingly aware of the barriers to fair and effective criminal proceedings for neurodivergent individuals. There is a well-established concern that neurodivergent people are both over-represented within and under-served by the criminal justice system (CJS), experiencing poorer justice outcomes than their neurotypical counterparts. This review helps to address these challenges by offering a concise and practical exploration of key issues in this area, with suggested actions practitioners can take. This review looks at:

- 1 Embedding lived experience in the development of policy and practice
- 2 The Youth Justice System (YJS) and Neurodivergent Children and Young People (ND-CYP)
- 3 Neurodivergent suspects and Policing (including custody)
- 4 Neurodivergence in Criminal Courts
- 5 Prison and Probation based Behavioural Change Programmes for Neurodivergent Individuals
- 6 Getting started: How organisations can make their service more friendly to neurodivergent people



Introduction: Neurodivergence in the Criminal Justice System

Dr Nicole Renehan and Dr Tom Smith

This evidence review focuses on the fast-emerging issue of Neurodivergence within the criminal justice system (CJS).

Recent years have seen increased attention to both Neurodiversity as a general concept and social concern, and specifically to the way in which the CJS engages with individuals who are neurodivergent. Interest (and to some extent, action) in this area has been catalysed by an exponential increase in inter-disciplinary scholarship and policy-level engagement, not least because of the landmark evidence review by the Criminal Justice Joint Inspectorate in 2021 (CJJI, 2021). While often used interchangeably, 'Neurodiversity' refers to the natural variation in the neurodevelopmental profiles of the general population; that is, the variations in types of human brain. In contrast, 'Neurodivergence' commonly describes cognitive development which varies or diverges from the typical, related primarily to learning, attention, communication, sensory processing, and mood regulation differences. Neurodivergence includes (but is not limited to) Autism, Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), Learning Disabilities, and Dyslexia, with types of neurodivergence often overlapping and intersecting (for more, see University of Birmingham, 2025).

Individuals drawn into the CJS – as suspects, defendants, victims or witnesses – generally face significant challenges due to the stressful, complex and specialised nature of criminal proceedings. The inherent differences in how neurodivergent individuals engage with the world around them combined with the, arguably, neurotypical bias of the CJS can create barriers to fair and effective criminal proceedings. There is a well-established concern that neurodivergent people are both overrepresented within and under-served by the CJS, experiencing poorer justice outcomes than their neurotypical counterparts (CJJI, 2021).

This review has been created by the Neurodivergence in Criminal Justice Network (NICJN) – a group of researchers, practitioners and community members interested in the challenges faced by neurodivergent individuals drawn into criminal justice systems (both in England and Wales, and globally). The review provides a snapshot of several areas of the CJS, drawing on a range of research literature and evidence (including the research of NICJN members in their respective fields, several of whom have very kindly contributed to this review). While the summaries are brief, they offer key insights into specific topics, complemented by recommended further reading. Equally, the network and the individual section authors can be contacted for further information.



This report is divided into six sections, covering neurodivergence in the context of the following:

- 1 Embedding lived experience: Learning Lessons from The Ministry of Justice Action Plan on Neurodiversity in the Criminal Justice System – Kayleigh Atkins
- 2 The Youth Justice System (YJS) and Neurodivergent Children and Young People (ND-CYP) – Dr Anne-Marie Day and Dr Nikki Rutter
- 3 Neurodivergent suspects and Policing (including custody) – Dr Chloe Holloway-George and Professor Clare Allely
- 4 Neurodivergence in Criminal Courts - Dr Jen Hough, Dr Katie Maras, Dr Tom Smith
- 5 Prison and Probation based Behavioural Change Programmes for Neurodivergent Individuals – Dr Luke Vinter and Dr Nicole Renehan
- 6 Getting started: How organisations can make their service more friendly to neurodivergent people – Dr Nicole Renehan and Dr Tom Smith

We would like to thank all of the section authors for freely giving their expertise and their time to contribute to this review – we are hugely grateful. We hope that readers will find the insights offered informative and that they will inspire further reading, research and action on this important topic.

Nicole and Tom

NICJN Co-Coordiators

References

Criminal Justice Joint Inspection. (2021). Neurodiversity in the CJS: A review of the evidence. <https://www.justiceinspectorates.gov.uk/cjji/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2021/07/Neurodiversity-evidence-review-web-2021.pdf>

University of Birmingham, 'Understanding Neurodivergence and Neurodiversity': <https://www.birmingham.ac.uk/schools/education/departments/disability-inclusion-special-needs/resources/understanding-neurodivergence>



**EVIDENCE
LIBRARY**

Working with neurodivergent people in contact with the criminal justice system

CLiNKs

Section 1: Embedding lived experience Lessons from the Ministry of Justice Action Plan on Neurodiversity in the Criminal System

Kayleigh Atkins

In July 2021, the Criminal Justice Joint Inspection (CJJI) published their landmark report, 'Neurodiversity in the Criminal Justice System (CJS), A Review of Evidence' (CJJI, 2021; GOV.UK, 2023). It concluded that a lack of reliable or systematic data collection, screening, information sharing and practitioner understanding of neurodivergence across the criminal justice system made it difficult to identify or address individual support needs and reoffending. Its wide-ranging and extensive review of how neurodivergence is engaged with by various parts of the CJS culminated in six core recommendations, summarised below:

- 1 A coordinated and cross-government approach to the issue, with development of a national strategy (with input from lived experience)
- 2 Development of a common CJS-wide screening tool and information sharing protocol
- 3 Collection and aggregation of data to better inform analysis and service delivery
- 4 Awareness raising and specialised training for CJS practitioners and staff, developed with input from lived experience
- 5 Improved identification of the needs of neurodivergent people and use of adjustments to meet those needs
- 6 CJS agency and collaboration and coordination with statutory and third sector organisations to help prevent offending and support rehabilitation

Crucially, His Majesty's Prison and Probation Service (HMPPS) and Ministry of Justice (MoJ) were required to officially respond to the review and produce an action plan, which was published in June 2022 (GOV.UK, 2023). The action plan either agreed, partly agreed or did not agree to the recommendations; notably, only one recommendation (6) was agreed in full, with the remainder being partly agreed (GOV.UK, 2023).

Notwithstanding the requirements of the CJJI recommendations, there was a notable absence of proportionate representation from those with lived experience of neurodivergence in the action plan (GOV.UK, 2023). Such omissions can impact on equal access to justice and effective participation in the development of adequate responses (Chapman and Carel, 2022). Each response had a responsible 'owner' and a partnership with criminal justice charity Revolving Doors to 'ensure real lived experience is embedded within policy and operational decision making' (GOV.UK, 2023: 6-7). However, the Revolving Doors forum only consisted of six neurodivergent people who have had 'recent, repeated contact with the CJS' (Wynne, 2022:1), which is unrepresentative of the neurodivergent

individuals within the criminal justice system, or indeed the large neurodivergent community in England and Wales. As such, the Action Plan did not fully capture and therefore feed in neurodivergent experience at every stage of the CJS, including crucial points of delivery such as pre-sentencing (Bradley, 2009; Wynne, 2022). This is a substantial limitation to the development and implementation of the MoJ/HMPPS response, particularly considering potential access to the approximately 31% of prisoners identified as neurodivergent on arriving in prison (Prison Reform trust, 2024).

Embedding lived experience of neurodivergence more substantially in the action plan, could have facilitated the goal of a more inclusive and cost-effective criminal justice process fit for all and more effectively reflected the principle of 'nothing about us without us' (Anderson and Bigby, 2024). This approach could have served as a model for future lived experience-led and informed research, that positively influences policy and practice via neurodivergent co-production of knowledge. Ultimately, such an approach would have better met the aims set out in the CJI report and the responses in the MoJ Action Plan (Lewis and Arday, 2023; Prison Reform Trust, 2024; Shildrick, 2002).

References and Further Reading

Anderson, S., Bigby, C. (2024). 'Nothing about us without us'. Including Lived Experiences of People with Intellectual Disabilities in Policy and Service Design. In: Bigby, C., Hough, A. (eds) Disability Practice. Palgrave Macmillan, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-6143-6_12

Bradley, Lord K. (2009). The Bradley Report: Lord Bradley's Review of People with Mental Health Problems or Learning Disabilities in the Criminal Justice System. <https://lx.iriss.org.uk/sites/default/files/resources/The%20Bradley%20report.pdf>

Chapman, R. and Carel, H. (2022). Neurodiversity, epistemic injustice, and the good human life. Journal of Social Philosophy, 53(4), 614-631 <https://doi.org/10.1111/josp.12456>

Criminal Justice Joint Inspection. (2021). Neurodiversity in the CJS: A review of the evidence. <https://www.justiceinspectorates.gov.uk/cjji/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2021/07/Neurodiversity-evidence-review-web-2021.pdf>

GOV.UK. (2023). A Response to the Criminal Justice Joint Evidence Review: Neurodiversity in the Criminal Justice System action plan. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/a-response-to-the-criminal-justice-joint-inspection-neurodiversity-in-the-criminal-justice-system-action-plan>.

Lewis, C. J., & Arday, J. (2023). We'll see things they'll never see: Sociological reflections on race, neurodiversity and higher education. The Sociological Review, 71(6), 1299-1321. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00380261231184357>



Prison Reform Trust. (2024). Bromley Briefings Prison Factfile: February 2024. <https://prisonreformtrust.org.uk/publication/bromley-briefings-prison-factfile-february-2024/>.

Shildrick, M. (2002). Embodying the monster: Encounters with the vulnerable self. SAGE. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446220573>

Wynne, Z. (2022). Policy position: Exploring the relationship between neurodiversity and the revolving door of crisis and crime - Revolving Doors. <https://revolving-doors.org.uk/publications/exploring-the-relationship-between-neurodiversity-and-the-revolving-door-of-crisis-and-crime/>.



**EVIDENCE
LIBRARY**

Working with neurodivergent people in contact with the criminal justice system

CLiNKs

Section 2: Youth Justice System (YJS) and Neurodivergent Children and Young People (ND-CYP)

Dr Anne-Marie Day and Dr Nikki Rutter

There are a disproportionate number of ND-CYP in the YJS (Day, 2022; Day et al., 2024). We advocate for them being supported through a Child-First lens (Day, 2022).

Early identification

Early identification and support for ND-CYP is important as contact with the YJS is frequently an outcome of earlier institutional neglect of ND-CYP in education, health and social care systems:

- ND-CYP are more likely to be excluded from school, increasing their risk of social exclusion, exploitation and contact with the YJS (Catch22, 2023; Chapman, 2023; Gill et al., 2017).
- If ND-CYP do not have their needs met, including within educational settings, it can result in them harming themselves (Holt, 2024; Rutter, 2024); their families (Holt, 2023; Holt, 2024; Rutter, 2024); and their communities (Day, 2022). Thus, increasing the likelihood of them encountering both mental health services and the YJS.

The Youth Justice System

When ND-CYP enter the YJS, they have specific needs and challenges:

- Many children in the YJS and in custody have a speech and language difference, but this is not identified until after children have been arrested (Hughes & Peirse-O'Byrne, 2016).
- It has been highlighted that many children in the YJS struggle with literacy, with some unable to read full texts (Hughes & Peirse-O-Byrne, 2016; Turner, 2019; Turner & Hughes, 2022).
- Many neurodivergent children have their needs met by an Education Health and Care Plan (EHCP), issued by Local Authorities and delivered by educational settings (such as schools). Yet, these provisions do not follow them into the YJS, meaning that their needs are not met in challenging (and adult-oriented) settings like custody (Day, 2022).
- Children in custody are not receiving their mandated 15 hours of education per week (HMIP, 2024), with many locked up for up to 23 hours per day.



Recommendations

- Speech and language plans should be part of assessments and support plans for all children in the community and in custody.
- Educational provision must be improved as a priority within custody, including the inclusion of provisions outlined in existing EHCPs.

References and Further Reading

Catch22. (2023). Catch On to Child Exploitation. <https://www.catch-22.org.uk/find-services/catch-on/>

Chapman, E. (2023). Preventing unmet need from leading to school exclusion: empowering schools to identify neurodiversity earlier (Doctoral dissertation, University of Leeds). https://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/33429/1/Chapman_E_Medicine_DClinPsychol_2023.pdf

Day, A. M. (2022). Disabling and criminalising systems? Understanding the experiences and challenges facing incarcerated, neurodivergent children in the education and youth justice systems in England. *Forensic Science International: Mind and Law*, 3, 100102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsimpl.2022.100102>

Day, A. M., Allely, C., Robinson, L., Turner, K., Gerry KC, F., & Forrester, A. (2024). The over-representation of neurodivergent children in Youth Justice Systems and The Youth Court. *Medicine, Science and the Law*, 64(4), 255-258. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00258024241274073>

Gill, K., Quilter-Pinner, H., & Swift, D. (2017). Making the difference: Breaking the link between school exclusion and social exclusion. Institute for Public Policy Research. <https://ippr-org.files.svdcdn.com/production/Downloads/making-the-difference-report-october-2017.pdf>

HMIP. (2024). A decade of declining quality of education in young offender institutions: the systemic shortcomings that fail children. [Report] <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/thematic-review-of-the-quality-of-education-in-young-offender-institutions-yois/a-decade-of-declining-quality-of-education-in-young-offender-institutions-the-systemic-shortcomings-that-fail-children>

Holt, A. (2023). 'I don't know what is autism, what is normal teenage behaviour, and what is naughtiness': Conceptualising child and adolescent to parent violence in the context of neurodevelopmental difference. *Children & Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/chso.12809>



Holt, A. (2024). 'I'm his safe space': Mothers' Experiences of Physical Violence From Their Neurodivergent Children—Gender, Conflict and the Ethics of Care. *The British Journal of Criminology*, 64(4), 811-826. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjc/azad074>

Hughes, N., & Peirse-O'Byrne, K. (2016). Disabled inside: neurodevelopmental impairments among young people in custody. *Prison Service Journal*. <https://www.crimeandjustice.org.uk/sites/crimeandjustice.org.uk/files/PSJ%20226%20July%202016.pdf>

Rutter, N. (2021). "I'm meant to be his comfort blanket, not a punching bag"—Ethnomimesis as an exploration of maternal child to parent violence in pre-adolescents. *Qualitative Social Work*, 20(5), 1317-1338. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1473325020940774>

Rutter, N. (2024). Explosive and harmful impulses: a subset of child and adolescent-to-parent violence and abuse. *Journal of interpersonal violence*, 9(22-23), 4722–4747. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08862605241244470>

Turner, K. (2019). The speech, language and communication profiles of young people in custody, in England. Evaluating models of service delivery to meet their needs. A mixed-methods study (Doctoral dissertation, University of Sheffield). <https://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/24922/1/Final%20thesis%20COMPLETE.pdf>

Turner, K., & Hughes, N. (2022). Supporting young people's cognition and communication in the courtroom: A scoping review of current practices. *Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health*, 32(3), 175-196. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbm.2237>



Section 3: Neurodivergence and Policing (including Custody)

Dr Chloe Holloway-George and Professor Clare Allely

Neurodivergent people may be more likely to encounter police interactions (Collins et al., 2023). For example, research has shown autistic people may be more likely to experience victimisation (Douglas & Sedgwick, 2024) and may be at greater risk of being arrested, not because they are more likely to offend, but because police may misinterpret their behaviour (Tint et al., 2017; Dickie et al., 2019). However, neurodivergent people may not be identified as such by police during such interactions. Research has found that neurodivergent people may not disclose and that police may find it difficult to recognise neurodivergence (Crane et al., 2016; Holloway et al., 2020; Young et al., 2013). This can prevent police from making reasonable adjustments (Slavny-Cross et al., 2022) and implementing safeguards such as the Appropriate Adult (Slavny-Cross et al., 2023; Dehaghani and Smith, 2024).

Research has shown that a lack of support may affect neurodivergent people's experiences of police custody (Crane et al., 2016; Holloway et al., 2020; Holloway-George et al., forthcoming). For example, autistic people report negative experiences of police custody due to inaccessible police practices and custodial environments (Holloway et al., 2020; Holloway-George et al., forthcoming). Police interactions during an investigative interview can also be uniquely and highly stressful for autistic people (Gibbs et al., 2021). Autistic people can exhibit several associated features that may be perceived negatively (e.g. as challenging or confrontational behaviour or as evidence of evasion or guilt). Some of these features may include specific memory differences, difficulty with the recollection of events in a sequential manner (temporal sequencing impairments or challenges), differences in social communication and interaction, issues with time to respond, and emotional expressions or behaviours which may be perceived as unusual or inappropriate in the context (Allely, 2022; Mattison & Allely, 2022; Allely & Murphy, 2023; Maras et al., 2020). Further, exhibiting good expressive language skills and strong intellectual capabilities may mask difficulties in understanding, processing and responding to police questions and demands (North, Russell, & Gudjonsson, 2008) and can cause police officers to underestimate an individual's vulnerability (Dickie et al., 2018).

To improve the experiences of neurodivergent people, it is suggested that changes should be made to police practice, custodial environments and policy (Holloway et al., 2020; Holloway-George et al., forthcoming). The need for consistent and role specific training has also been emphasised (Holloway et al., 2022; Day, 2022) as well as key practical recommendations for more fair and effective interviewing of neurodivergent suspects.



References and Further Reading

Allely, C., & Murphy, D. (2023). Autistic Suspects in Police Custody: Issues Affecting the Effectiveness and Fairness of Police Interviews. In *Autism and Criminal Justice* (pp. 29-44). Routledge.

Allely, C. S. (2022). *Autism spectrum disorder in the criminal justice System: a guide to understanding suspects, defendants and offenders with autism*. Routledge.

Collins, J., Horton, K., Gale-St.Ives, E., Murphy, G. & Barnoux, M. (2023). A systematic review of autistic people and the criminal justice system: An update of King and Murphy (2014), *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 53(8), 3151-3179, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-022-05590-3>

Crane, L., Maras, K.L., Hawken, T., Mulcahy, S. & Memon, A. (2016). Experiences of Autism Spectrum Disorder and Policing in England and Wales: Surveying Police and the Autism Community, *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 46(6), 1-14, <https://doi:10.1007/s10803-016-2729->

Dehaghani, R., & Smith, T. (2024) Neurodivergence, autism and the appropriate adult safeguard in police custody, *Northern Ireland Legal Quarterly*, 75(4), 690-719, <https://nilq.qub.ac.uk/index.php/nilq/article/view/1138>.

Dickie, I., Reveley, S., Dorrity, A. (2018) The criminal justice system and people on the autism spectrum: perspectives on awareness and identification, *Journal of Applied Psychology and Social Science*, 4(1), 1-21, https://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/3933/1/Dickie_TheCriminalJustice.pdf

Dickie, I., Reveley, S. & Dorrity, A. (2019). Adults with a diagnosis of autism: personal experiences of engaging with regional criminal justice services. *Journal of Applied Psychology and Social Science*, 4(2), 52-70. http://insight.cumbria.ac.uk/4340/1/Dickie_AdultsWith.pdf

Douglas, S., & Sedgewick, F. (2024). Experiences of interpersonal victimization and abuse among autistic people. *Autism*, 28(7), 1732-1745, <https://doi.org/10.1177/13623613231205630>

Gibbs, V., Love, A. M. A., Cai, R. Y., & Haas, K. (2021). Police interactions and the autistic community: Perceptions of procedural justice. *Disability and Society*, 39(9), 1608-1625, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-020-04510-7>

Holloway, C., Munro, N., Jackson, J., Phillips, S. & Ropar, D. (2020). Exploring the autistic and police perspectives of the custody process through a participative walkthrough. *Research*



Holloway, C., Munro, N., Cossburn, K. and Ropar, D. (2022). A pilot study of co-produced autism training for police custody staff: Evaluating impact on perceived knowledge change and behaviour intentions. *Policing: An International Journal*, 45(3), 434 – 447, <https://doi.org/10.1108/PIJPSM-11-2021-0159>

Holloway-George, C., Munro, N., Jackson, J. & Ropar, D. (forthcoming) 'Exploring the Experiences of Autistic People in Police Custody: Assessing the Need for Adjustments to Improve Participation in the Custody Process'

Maras, K., Dando, C., Stephenson, H., Lambrechts, A., Anns, S., Gaigg, S. (2020) The Witness-Aimed First Account (WAFA): A new technique for interviewing autistic witnesses and victims, *Autism*, 24(6), 1449–1467, https://www.intermediaries-for-justice.org/sites/default/files/maras_et_al_2020.pdf

Day, A-M. (2022). Disabling and criminalising systems? Understanding the experiences and challenges facing incarcerated, neurodivergent children in the education and youth justice systems in England, *Forensic Science International: Mind and Law*, 3, (100102) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsimpl.2022.100102>

Mattison, M., & Allely, C. (2022). Questioning autistic people: Police and courts. In *Working with Autistic People in the Criminal Justice and Forensic Mental Health Systems* (pp. 55-66). Routledge.

North, A. S., Russell, A. J., & Gudjonsson, G. H. (2008). High functioning autism spectrum disorders: an investigation of psychological vulnerabilities during interrogative interview. *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry and Psychology*, 19(3), 323–34, <https://doi.org/10.1080/14789940701871621>

Slavny-Cross, R., Allison, C., Griffiths, S., & Baron-Cohen, S. (2022). Autism and the criminal justice system: An analysis of 93 cases, *Autism Research*, 15, 904 -914, <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2690>

Slavny-Cross, R., Allison, C., Griffiths, S. & Baron-Cohen, S. (2023). 'Are autistic people disadvantaged by the criminal justice system? A case comparison.' *Autism* 0(0), <https://doi.org/10.1177/13623613221140284>

Tint, A., Palucka, A., Bradley, E., Weiss, J. & Lunskey, Y. (2020). Correlates of police involvement among adolescents and adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. 47, 2639-2647, <https://doi:10.1007/s10803-017-3182-5>

Young, S., Goodwin, E.J., Sedgwick, O. et al. (2013). The effectiveness of police custody assessments in identifying suspects with intellectual disabilities and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *BMC Med* 11, 248 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-11-248>



Section 4: Neurodivergence in Criminal Courts

Dr Jen Hough, Dr Katie Maras, Dr Tom Smith

Courtrooms can be spaces of heightened emotion for any participant, but for those who are neurodivergent, this can be magnified immensely. The court process is rigid and formulaic; cognitively demanding; creates prolonged sensory stress; and presents expectations around social communication and behaviour, all of which may be challenging for neurodivergent witnesses and defendants. This can, ultimately, affect the quality of evidence, their ability to effectively participate, as well as causing emotional exhaustion and burnout (Chaplin et al, 2024; Criminal Justice Joint Inspectorate, 2021; Woodhouse et al., 2024).

Existing research indicates that judges, lawyers and other legal professionals do not always recognise and effectively respond to the needs of neurodivergent individuals in court proceedings (Maras et al., 2017; Cooper and Allely, 2017; Smith 2024). This may, in part, be explained by participants in proceedings, such as Autistic individuals, 'camouflaging' their differences and a lack of appropriate training for legal professionals (Slavny-Cross et al., 2022). For neurodivergent individuals giving evidence during trials, access to special measures (such as an intermediary) may be crucial to addressing such issues, when recognised; however, there is currently limited empirical research to inform and guide the provision of special measures. Neurodivergent witnesses are likely to be eligible under statutory provisions, though implementation has significant challenges in practice (see Baird, 2021). Defendants do not have equivalent statutory rights, potentially leaving them without critical support.

Neurodivergent individuals may have different ways of communicating, behaving, and coping, which may be misunderstood or misconstrued by judges, juries and others involved in court processes (Cooper and Allely, 2017; Sturges and Nuñez, 2021; Tidball, 2024). This can adversely affect outcomes like remand, verdict and sentence (Criminal Justice Joint Inspectorate, 2021; Smith 2024). Importantly, research suggests these biases are dissipated if observers are appropriately informed (e.g. of a relevant diagnosis) (Maras et al., 2019; Sturges and Nuñez, 2021). Reducing prejudicial and inaccurate interpretations of neurodivergent presentation during the court process therefore requires systematic awareness and understanding of its variability and meaning (which is currently lacking – see CJI, 2021). We would therefore recommend the establishment of systematic and practical processes for screening, training, information sharing, and adaptation of court processes for neurodivergent people drawn into criminal proceedings.



References and Further Reading

Albin, M. and Wiseman-Hakes, C. (2023) Cognitive-Communication Disorders and Neurodisability in the Criminal Justice System: Emerging Roles, Responsibilities, and Opportunities for Speech-Language Pathologists. Perspectives of the ASHA Special Interest Groups. 8.6, 1304-1320 https://doi.org/10.1044/2023_PERSP-23-00012

Baird V, 'Next steps for special measures: A review of the provision of special measures' to vulnerable and intimidated witnesses (Victims' Commissioner, 2021): [https://victimscommissioner.org.uk/document/next-steps-for-special-measures-a-review-of-the-provision-of-special-measures-to-vulnerable-and-intimidated-witnesses/Chaplin, et al. \(2024\) A realist evaluation of an enhanced court-based liaison and diversion service for defendants with neurodevelopmental disorders. Criminal behaviour and mental health: CBMH. 34.2, 117-133. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbm.2315>](https://victimscommissioner.org.uk/document/next-steps-for-special-measures-a-review-of-the-provision-of-special-measures-to-vulnerable-and-intimidated-witnesses/Chaplin,et.al.(2024)Arealistevaluationofanenhancedcourt-basedliaisonanddiversionervicefordefendantswithneurodevelopmentaldisorders.Criminalbehaviourandmentalhealth:CBMH.34.2,117-133.https://doi.org/10.1002/cbm.2315)

Communicourt, (2022) ADHD & Criminal Justice: Understanding the Iceberg - Communicourt

Criminal Justice Joint Inspectorates (CJJI, 2021) Neurodiversity in the criminal justice system: A review of evidence, Neurodiversity in the criminal justice system: a review of evidence

Doyle, N. et al (2022) 'Neurodiversity' in Challenging Bias in Forensic Psychological Assessment and Testing: Theoretical and Practical Approaches to Working with Diverse Populations. Eds. Liell, G. et al. Routledge: London. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003230977>

Maras, K.L., Crane, L., Mulcahy, S. et al. (2017) Brief Report: Autism in the Courtroom: Experiences of Legal Professionals and the Autism Community. Journal of Autism Developmental Disorders. 47, 2610–2620. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-017-3162-9>

Maras, K., Marshall, I., & Sands, C. (2019). Mock juror perceptions of credibility and culpability in an autistic defendant. Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 49(3), 996–1010. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-018-3803-7>

Cooper, P and Allely, C. (2017) You can't judge a book by its cover: evolving professional responsibilities, liabilities and 'judgecraft' when a party has Asperger's Syndrome' Northern Ireland Legal Quarterly, 68.1. <https://doi.org/10.53386/nilq.v68i1.21>

Slavny-Cross, R., Allison, C., Griffiths, S., & Baron-Cohen, S. (2022). Autism and the criminal justice system: An analysis of 93 cases, Autism Research, 15, 904 -914, <https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2690>



Smith, T. (2024). Autistic and accused: A critical discussion of contemporary challenges to fair and effective criminal proceedings for autistic suspects and defendants. Criminal LawReview.1,43–64.<https://search.informit.org/doi/10.3316/informit.T2024032700026692033717413>

Sturges, H. & Nuñez, N. (2021) Autism spectrum disorder in adult defendants: the impact of information type on juror decision-making. Psychology, Crime & Law. 29. 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbm.2315>

Tidball, M. (2024) Disabling Criminal Justice: The Governance of Autistic Adult Defendants in the English Criminal Justice System. Bloomsbury: London.

User Voice (2021) "Neuro...what?" Neurodiversity in the criminal justice system. Neurodiversity-in-the-Criminal-Justice-System.pdf

Woodhouse, E., Hollingdale, J., Davies, L., Al-Attar, Z., Young, S., Vinter, L. P., ... & Allely, C. (2024). Identification and support of autistic individuals within the UK Criminal Justice System: a practical approach based upon professional consensus with input from lived experience. BMC medicine, 22(1), 157. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-024-03320-3>



Section 5: Prison and Probation Based Behavioural Change Programmes for Neurodivergent Individuals

Dr Luke Vinter and Dr Nicole Renehan

In the context of neurodivergence in offending behaviour programmes, the research literature remains relatively scant. What we do know more broadly is that neurodivergent people drop out of programmes at much higher rates than their neurotypical counterparts (Romero-Martínez et al., 2023). This is likely a consequence of failing to consider neurodivergence in their development or implementation (Renehan, 2024a, Lansdell et al., 2021; Buitelaar et al., 2021, Akerele et al., 2017). Most research in this area has been qualitative, exploring the lived experiences of neurodivergent participants in offending behaviour programmes and the professionals working with them. Moreover, this work has predominantly focused on interventions to address interpersonal offending behaviours, such as domestic abuse and sexual crime.

From this emerging research base, it has become clear that there are two broad areas of need in relation to enhancing working practices with neurodivergent people. First, there are initial adjustments that can be implemented in the short term, to respond to programme level challenges that neurodivergent people are reported to experience. These typically focus on the practical adaptation of programmes and programme delivery to be more responsive to the needs of neurodivergent participants and to encourage and enable engagement in programmes (Renehan, 2024b, Vinter et al., 2025). This includes reasonable adjustments to the social and sensory environment within a programme, neuro-inclusive adaptations to communication in programme delivery, the enhancement of staff neurodiversity knowledge and awareness, and broader considerations relating to how neurodivergent people are supported in the broader context beyond the programme space (e.g., prisons, Woodhouse et al., 2024). Second, beyond these adjustments and accommodations, evidence suggests a more fundamental shift is needed, with deeper consideration of bigger picture issues of two-way communication, power, inclusion, and meaningful engagement and relationships when working with neurodivergent people (Renehan, 2024b, Milton, 2012).

A recent (uncontrolled, pre/post programme) evaluation of criminal justice programmes adapted for learning disabled (sexually/domestically violent) offenders provides some early indication of positive progress within this population (Hubble, 2024). Further research is needed to understand how neurodivergent people are experiencing different types of offending behaviour interventions, how different contexts may be relevant, rehabilitative outcomes for neurodivergent people, and how intersectionality may be relevant to criminal justice interventions for neurodivergent people (e.g., gender, sexuality, race, class).



References and Further Reading

Akerele, F. A., Murphy, C. M., & Williams, M. R. (2017). Are Men With a History of Head Injury Less Responsive to Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for Intimate Partner Violence? *Violence & Victims*, 32(3), 493-505. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1891/0886-6708.VV-D-16-00005>

Buitelaar, N. J., Posthumus, J. A., Bijlenga, D., & Buitelaar, J. K. (2021). The impact of ADHD treatment on intimate partner violence in a forensic psychiatry setting. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 25(7), 1021-1031. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1087054719879502>

Lansdell, G. T., Saunders, B. J., Eriksson, A., & Bunn, R. (2021). Strengthening the Connection Between Acquired Brain Injury (ABI) and Family Violence: The Importance of Ongoing Monitoring, Research and Inclusive Terminology. *Journal of Family Violence*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10896-021-00278-1>

Hubble, R. (2024). The Learning Disabilities and Challenges (LDC) suite of accredited offending behaviour programmes. An uncontrolled before-after evaluation of clinical outcomes. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/676ada7b3229e84d9bbdea66/LDC_suite_study.pdf

Milton, D. E. M. (2012). On the ontological status of autism: the 'double empathy problem.' *Disability & Society*, 27(6), 883–887. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09687599.2012.710008>

Renehan, N. (2024). Designing from the margins: Neurodivergent-led organisations on Domestic Abuse Perpetrator Programmes. <http://doi.org/10.15128/r1pr76f348b>

Renehan, N. (2024). Foregrounding Meaning and Motive in the Domestic Abuse Perpetration of and Response to Neurodivergent Men. *Deviant Behavior*, 45(12), 1682–1697. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01639625.2024.2319638>

Renehan, N. and Gadd, D. (2024) For Better or Worse? Improving the Response to Domestic Abuse Offenders on Probation, *The British Journal of Criminology*, 64(5), 1171–1188. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjc/azae003>

Renehan, N. and Fitz-Gibbon, K. (2022) Domestic violence perpetrator programmes and neurodiversity: Practitioner experiences and perspectives <http://doi.org/10.15128/r2hx11xf281>

Neurodiverse Connection: Grooming and coercive control Summit. <https://ndconnection.co.uk/gccsummit2023>

Romero-Martínez, Á., Lila, M., Sarrate-Costa, C., Comes-Fayos, J., & Moya-Albiol, L. (2023). The interaction between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and neuropsychological deficits for explaining dropout and recidivism of intimate partner violence perpetrators. *European Journal of Psychology Applied to Legal Context*, 15(1), 33-42. <https://doi.org/10.5093/ejpalc2023a4>



Vinter, L. P., Harper, C., Dillon, G., & Winder, B. (2024). Mental wellbeing, but not prison climate, mediates the association between autistic traits and treatment readiness among men with sexual convictions. *Journal of Sexual Aggression*. 1-17 <https://doi.org/10.1080/13552600.2024.2378022>

Vinter, L. P., Dillon, G., Winder, B., & Harper, C. A. (2025). A Multi-Perspective Qualitative Study About Working With Autistic Individuals in Prison-Based Interventions to Address Sexual Offending. *Sexual Abuse*, 37(1), 30-57. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10790632231216701>

Vinter, L. P., & Dillon, G. (2023) Chapter IV: Autism in prisons. In: Smith, T., ed., *Autism and Criminal Justice: The Experience of Suspects, Defendants and Offenders in England and Wales*. Routledge. https://www.google.com/url?q=https://www.researchgate.net/publication/369969813_Autism_in_Prisons_An_Overview_of_Experiences_of_Custody_and_Implications_for_Custodial_Rehabilitation_for_Autistic_Prisoners&sa=D&source=docs&ust=1737455289112586&usg=AOvVaw1nsdUTRr7rsI9MGvXNLxGy

Vinter, L. P., Dillon, G., & Winder, B. (2020). 'People don't like you when you're different': exploring the prison experiences of autistic individuals. *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 29(3), 243–262. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2020.1781119>

Woodhouse, E., Hollingdale, J., Davies, L., Al-Attar, Z., Young, S., Vinter, L.P., Agyemang, K., Bartlett, C., Berryessa, C., Chaplin, E., Deeley, Q., Freckelton, I., Gerry, F., Gudjonsson, G., Mattison, M., McCarthy,

J., Mills, R., Misch, P., Murphy, D., & Allely, C. (2024) Identification and support of autistic individuals within the UK Criminal Justice System: a practical approach based upon professional consensus with input from lived experience. *BMC Med* 22(1), 157. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-024-03320-3>



Section 6: Getting started: How organisations can make their service more friendly to neurodivergent people

Dr Nicole Renehan and Dr Tom Smith

This evidence review began by highlighting the importance of foregrounding lived experience of neurodivergence when developing a fairer and more effective criminal justice system. Furthermore, as Day and Rutter set out, neurodivergent individuals are disproportionately represented in youth justice, suggesting the need for engagement with neurodivergent communities and their families in the education system. Sections three and four highlighted the barriers neurodivergent people experience at the 'coal face' of policing and courts. These stressful and formulaic environments, compounded by practitioner misunderstandings of neurodivergence, can result in a higher likelihood of being arrested, charged, convicted and receiving harsher (and less appropriate) sentencing decisions. Once sentenced, rehabilitative interventions in the form of behaviour change programmes present further obstacles, as the structure and content of these have thus far neglected to engage neurodivergent people.

While each section in this review has covered a different aspect of the criminal justice journey, they converge around one crucial point: a pervasive lack of awareness and understanding of how neurodivergent people present, interact and experience the world around them. Misunderstanding leads to misinterpretation of neurodivergent presentations and a breakdown of communication. Yet, misunderstandings and miscommunications are ordinarily attributed solely to neurodivergent people (Autistic Advocate, 2022). Considering the power wielded in criminal justice processes and the professionals administering them, this deficit of understanding and (consequently) fair treatment needs to be addressed urgently.

Alongside better awareness and understanding (which this review aims to offer, as a starting point), professionals and organisations can make their practice and services more neurodivergent friendly in a variety of ways. These are often low or no cost, practical, and simple – yet can be highly effective in addressing the various issues discussed in this review. Below we have provided some general and more specific suggestions for criminal justice professionals to more effectively support and engage neurodivergent individuals.

Professional Curiosity and Development

- » *Asking the individual what they need/what will help (when appropriate) – they will be the best expert (but may need support from others to convey this)*
- » *Developing knowledge and understanding from various sources, including the individual, their loved ones, other criminal justice professionals and external expertise*
- » *Drawing on published information (e.g. specialist literature, lived experience accounts)*
- » *If not specifically identified, being mindful of differences in neurodivergent individuals and alive to the signs:*
 - *Body language, communication, behaviour (i.e. 'presentation')*
 - *Note: this should recognise the variety of different ways individuals may present, making stereotypes or assumptions unhelpful*

Supporting emotional and sensory needs

- » *Offering sensory/regulatory support items within your organisation (police station, consultations, group programmes) which can include - fidget toys, stress balls, ear defenders, etc*
 - *Allowing (safe) comfort items – which may be specific or unusual - in cells, interviews, consultations*
- » *Providing certainty where possible to manage anxiety*
- » *Encouraging/accepting any coping/regulatory behaviours (e.g. stimming, focused interests)*
- » *Considering location and environmental factors (e.g. noise, light, heat, comfort, space); and adjusting the environment when possible (e.g. lighting)*
- » *Considering eye contact, physical contact, space, body language – do not assume all people communicate or relate in the same way*
- » *Non-judgemental attitude/approach – making sure all staff and/or clients understand differences and preferences in communicating, moving*

Engaging with others

- » *Engaging support (e.g. family, allies, supporters, experts) to help understand neurodivergent individuals, as the neurodivergent individual needs/wants and where possible or necessary*
- » *If formal supports are limited, thinking creatively – whose support can you enlist to assist the service user/client (e.g. professionals' unique knowledge/experience that may be useful)*
- » *When planning ways to support individuals, considering how best to demonstrate need to other professionals/institutions*



Supporting understanding, communication and engagement

- » *Helping individuals prepare for key processes (for example at court, police interview, probation) – a walkthrough or talk-through will help*
 - *Including explaining consequences - what will happen; why*
- » *Providing clear structure for interactions – explaining order of events, content of interactions (i.e. what will be discussed/covered/involved)*
- » *Summaries/checking of understanding – make sure that the client has fully understood*
- » *Being clear on expectations (of the individual, of other professionals, of yourself) and potential or likely outcomes (both of specific interactions and wider proceedings)*
- » *Explanations for legal terminology and language – breakdown and/or prepare instructions in simple formats*
- » *Providing more time – designating more time for interactions (e.g. consultations, interviews, meetings); punctuality; clear expectations around timings/timeframes; building in time for/offering breaks (including shorter but more frequent interactions); allowing more time for cognitive processing during interactions*
- » *Considering the method and frequency of interactions – can they be conducted in an alternative way (e.g. email, via intermediary)? Are more/less interactions appropriate?*
- » *Provision of/access to communication aids (e.g. visual aids, written notes)*
- » *Adaptation of your own communication*
 - *e.g. clear, non-abstract wording; avoiding idiom/metaphor; short, discrete points; avoiding multi-part concepts/sentences; closed questions*
 - *Level of detail (more/less depending on the individual)*

Further recommended reading

To support implementation, see below some suggest key reading/viewing to get started:

- 1 Understanding communication and relating: An introduction to the double empathy problem (The Autistic Advocate, 2022) <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qpXwYD9bGyU>
- 2 The Advocate's gateway: responding to communication needs in the criminal justice system: <https://www.theadvocatesgateway.org/>
- 3 The Access Brief, Communicourt: <https://www.communicourt.co.uk/the-access-brief/>
- 4 Neurodivergence in the Justice System webinar series, Garden Court Chambers: https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLFJbPC3N3gPv_XPhm1gg-V7C0HR_pnA0t

Finally, if organisations are interested in sharing and learning about good practice, receiving newsletters and hearing about events, we encourage criminal justice practitioners to join the NiCJN [here](#).



Our vision

Our vision is of a vibrant, independent and resilient voluntary sector that enables people to transform their lives.

Our mission

To support, represent and advocate for the voluntary sector in criminal justice, enabling it to provide the best possible opportunities for individuals and their families.

Join Clinks: be heard, informed, and supported


Are you a voluntary organisation supporting people in the criminal justice system?

Join our network of over 500 members. Clinks membership offers you:

- A voice to influence change
- Practical assistance to be effective and resilient
- Support from a community of like-minded professionals.

Membership starts at just £80 per year and is free for organisations with little income.

www.clinks.org/membership



An online evidence base for the voluntary sector working in the criminal justice system

This article forms part of a series from Clinks, created to develop a far-reaching and accessible evidence base covering the most common types of activity undertaken within the criminal justice system. There are two main aims of this online series:

- 1 To increase the extent to which the voluntary sector bases its services on the available evidence base
- 2 To encourage commissioners to award contracts to organisations delivering an evidence-based approach.

Each article has been written by a leading academic with particular expertise on the topic in question. The topics are selected by Clinks' members as areas of priority interest. With the support of Russell Webster, Clinks is working towards building a comprehensive directory of the best evidence available across a wide range of criminal justice topics.

Clinks

Clinks, 82A James Carter Road, Mildenhall, Suffolk IP28 7DE

☎ 020 4502 6774 ✉ info@clinks.org 🐦 @Clinks_Tweets

💻 www.clinks.org